DESERT

Desert Online at http://desert.ut.ac.ir

Desert 24-1 (2019) 143-151

# Using geostatistical and deterministic modelling to identify spatial variability of groundwater quality

Z. Feizi<sup>a</sup>, Amir R. Keshtkar<sup>a\*</sup>, A. Afzali<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Desert Management Dept., International Desert Research Center (IDRC), University of Tehran, Tehran 1417763111, Iran

Received: 6 February 2019; Received in revised form: 26 June 2019; Accepted: 30 June 2019

#### Abstract

The main portion of water demands of arid regions like Kashan Plain, Iran supply by groundwater wells. This research was conducted to assess the groundwater quality as well as modelling and mapping groundwater quality in the study area using geosatistics and deterministic techniques. Five water quality parameters, including Electrical Conductivity, Sodium Adsorption Ratio, Total Hardness, Total Dissolved Solids and pH, were applied to determine the irrigation and drinking water quality increased from north to south of the study area. The areas located in the centre, south and eastern south of the study area had the optimum quality for irrigation and drinking purposes. Furthermore, based on the results of zoning using the Wilcox diagram determined that ground water quality of the study area 22%, 42% and 36% were good, medium and non-suitable, respectively.

Keywords: Groundwater quality; Modelling; Geostatistic; Deterministic; Zoning

#### 1. Introduction

The usage of groundwater has gradually increased owing to increase of water demand and shortage of surface water during the growth of population and rapid industrialization, especially in arid and semi-arid regions (Nas, 2009; Belkhiri and SheikhiNarany, 2015; Bodrud-Doza *et al.*, 2016). Water quality is closely linked to water use and to economic development status (Prabu *et al.*, 2011; Karami *et al.*, 2018). Groundwater can become contaminated with numerous types of human activities such as municipal, residential, industrial, commercial and agricultural usage (Keshtkar *et al.*, 2016).

Iran Central Plateau is known as an arid to semiarid region of the world, and most of fresh water is allocated for agriculture, is supplied through ground water (Babakhani *et al.*, 2016; Keshtkar *et al.*, 2017). Thus, it is clearly concluded that groundwater is the vital component for sustainable agriculture. In recent years, many fertile and agricultural plains suffered from 0.5

Fax: +98 21 88971717

E-mail address: keshtkar@ut.ac.ir

to 15 m water table-level drop (Ahmadi and Sedghamiz 2007; Sadat Noori, 2012), and most of water resources are gradually becoming polluted due to addition of materials from their surroundings (Lokeshwari and Chandrappa, 2006; Prabu et al, 2011) in which many wells are now out of use. Understanding the behaviour of groundwater body and its long-term trends is essential to make any management decisions in a given watershed (Reghunath et al., 2005; Sadat Noori, 2012). Therefore, having a deep knowledge about and insight into the groundwater system and evaluating the suitability of water quality seem necessary for optimum exploitation of water (Hu et al., 2005). The quality of irrigation water has to be evaluated to avoid or, at least, to minimize impacts on agriculture (Mohammed, 2011; Brhane, 2016).

Natural resources and environmental concerns, including groundwater, have considerably benefited from using GIS. An ArcGIS geostatistical analyst effectively bridges the gap between geostatistics and GIS analysis (Kumar *et al.*, 2007; Nas, 2009; Kheradpisheh *et al.*, 2014). Geostatistical analysis has been useful

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +98 21 88971717

to determine water variables in spatial and temporal aspects, and water suitability for various purposes (Issaks *et al.*, 1989; Goovaerts, 1997; Nas, 2009; Brhane, 2016; Mohammadi, 2017).

Many researchers applied geostatistical approach to analyse spatial variations of groundwater characteristics (Issaks et al., 1989: Bjerg et al., 1992; Ahmad, 2007; Nas, 2009, Abadi et al., 2016). Noori et al. (2013) compared different geostatistical methods to estimate a groundwater level at different climatic periods. Geostatistical methods were applied on the maximum, minimum and mean groundwaterlevel elevation of 59 wells. The results obtained from geostatistical analysis indicated that best method for this place was Cokriging, and groundwater depth varied spatially in different climatic conditions. Jeihouni et al. (2014) evaluated the groundwater quality of Tabriz city. The spatial distribution of groundwater quality parameters was produced by employing GIS and geostatistical techniques. Brhane (2016)evaluated groundwater by nine chemical parameters to calculate the irrigation water quality index. The results showed that groundwater suitable for irrigation purposes, and groundwater needed slight water treatment for quality adjustment. Laze et al. (2016) evaluated some significant physio-chemical parameters of the surface water of the Dukagjin Basin to assess the quality of irrigation water. The results suggest that all water samples are suitable for irrigation purposes. Mohammadi et al. (2017) evaluated the temporal and spatial variation of

chemical parameter concentration in drinking water resources of Bandar-e Gaz city using GIS. According to the zoning maps of groundwater, nitrate and hardness concentration in dry seasons is greater than that in rainy seasons.

Kashan plain is located in an arid area of central Iran causing ecosystem conditions in this area to become extremely fragile. In recent decades, due to an intensive ground water usage, water quantity and quality were decreased. Thus, regarding the Kashan plain water resources condition, the main purpose of the current research was to evaluate geostatistical and deterministic techniques of groundwater quality modelling and calculate water quality indices in the study area.

## 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1. The study area

The study is located north of Iran Central Plateau and Isfahan province, Iran. It has an area of  $1741 \text{ km}^2$ , and is located between a longitude of  $33^\circ 30' - 34^\circ 30'$  N and latitude of  $51^\circ 10' - 52^\circ$  E (fig. 1). There is a waste pits in south of plain. The study area has an average annual rainfall of 122 mm with an average annual temperature of 18C°. According to Domarton method of climate classification study area is located in arid region. The study area has two modes of relatively different climatic; the two modes include climatic conditions foothill and lowland. A large proportion of water requirements for the city of Kashan are supplied from groundwater wells.



Fig. 1. Geographic location of Kashan plain

# 2.2. Groundwater quality data collection and analysis

Groundwater quality parameters were selected among many factors based on the significance of the parameter as an index for salinity, thereby affecting human health and data availability. Available groundwater of 72 observation wells monitored continually from 2000 to 2011 and received from Iran Water Resources Management Corporation was applied. These wells are distributed across the study area to represent the fluctuation of groundwater level and quality of the study area. The data of the ground water quality, including TH, EC, SAR, TDS and pH, were applied for the physical and chemical water quality analysis using standard classification methods (Table 1).

Table 1. Ground water quality parameters classification which applied for ground water quality analysis of Kashan plain									
SAR	Quality	EC	Quality	TDS	Quality	TH	Quality	pН	Quality
0-10	Low	0-250	Low	<500	Suitable	<250	Suitable	<6	Acidic
10-18	Medium	250-750	Medium	500-1000	Tolerable	250-500	Tolerable	6-8	Neutral
18-16	High	650-2250	High	1000-2000	Unsuitable	500-1000	Unsuitable	>8	Alkaline
26-32	Very high	2250-4000	Very high	2000-4000	Inferior	1000-2000	Inferior		
				4000-8000	Temporality	2000-4000	Temporality		
				4000-0000	potable	2000-4000	potable		
				>8000	potable	>4000	potable		

The Wilcox classification was applied for quality comparison in terms of agriculture and irrigation (Soltani *et al.*, 2014). The Wilcox diagram based on two factors of SAR, and EC classifies the samples in 16 different classes, that  $C_1S_1$  and  $C_4S_4$  with minimum and maximum salinity and alkalinity are the best and worst, respectively. In the Wilcox diagram, SAR shows the losses consequences by sodium. Maximum rate of sodium plays the main role of alkaline soil creation and eventually decreases soil permeability (Rahimi, 2009; Soltani *et al.*, 2014).

## 2.3. Interpolation methods

Deterministic and geostatistical techniques are two main interpolation technique groups, which have been explained before in many manuscripts (Kumar *et al.*, 2007; Nas, 2009; Sadat Nori *et al.*, 2012; Kheradpisheh *et al.*, 2014; Afzali *et al.*, 2016; Babakhani *et al.*, 2016). A geostatistical method is a branch of statistics focused on spatial patterns analysis and variables quantity assumption in points where sampling has not occurred. In this method, the variable and its spatial position are simultaneously used for spatial models. The main purpose of geostatic is to use notation change and other techniques in order to determine quantity and spatial correlation modelling.

In order to apply geostatistical methods, in the first step data normality was checked using maximum, minimum, average, median, standard deviation, the coefficient of skewness and kurtosis. Normalization using the logarithmic functions method was carried out if data failed to comply normal distribution. Then, according to the value of the errors calculated by the software, the best model was chosen that had the lowest root mean square error (RMSE) and maximum correlation (R) between existing data and estimated data. In this study, we tested four semivariogram models, including Circular, Spherical, Exponential and Gaussian.

Afterward, the normalization of the data interpolation was performed in IDW (Inverse Distance Weighting), RBF (Radial Basis Functions) and four geostatistical methods, including Ordinary Kriging, Simple Kriging, Cokriging Ordinary, and Cokriging Simple.

#### 3. Results and Discussion

Tables 2 to 6 shows the summery of semivariogram model parameters for each water quality factor. As mentioned above, crossvalidation was applied to determine which model prepared the best estimations (Table 2-6). The results of the error rate different methods, including IDW, RBF and four geostatic techniques (including Ordinary Kriging, Simple Kriging, Ordinary Cokriging and Simple Cokriging) were compared, then zoning of water quality was carried out using Gaussian Ordinary Kriging for electrical conductivity and Gaussian Simple Kriging for the sodium adsorption ratio, which according to the lowest error, they were identified as the best methods.

Model	Parameter	Root Mean Square	Average Standard Error	R	Root Mean Square Standardized
	TH	468.8	410.5	0.62	1.15
	TDS	1444.14	1056.3	0.623	1.34
Spherical	pH	0.2475	0.253	0.598	0.9758
	EC	2328.21	-0.0735	0.67	1.3945
	SAR	2.491	-0.0112	0.62	1.0453
	TH	466.76	418.54	0.642	1.107
	TDS	1423.93	1114.28	0.67	1.23
Circular	pН	0.2474	0.2537	0.667	0.973
	EC	2289.21	-0.037	0.641	1.2658
	SAR	2.4606	0.01449	0.618	0.938
	TH	505.18	368.6	0.548	2.022
	TDS	1533.4	1354.4	0.623	1.10
Exponential	pН	0.248	0.2532	0.643	0.9726
	EC	2471.28	0.02608	0.672	1.0741
	SAR	2.6985	-0.0291	0.625	1.3754
Gaussian	TH	475.82	426.66	0.589	1.112
	TDS	1428.23	1145.69	0.595	1.23
	pН	0.247	0.2538	0.612	0.972
	EC	2291.76	-0.055	0.64	1.2936
	SAR	2.4576	0.0076	0.623	0.9192

Table 2. Cross-validation results of Simple Kriging technique

Table 3. Cross-validation results of Ordinary Kriging technique

Model	Parameter	Root Mean Square	Average Standard Error	R	Root Mean Square Standardized
	TH	466.5	403.7	0.623	1.15
	TDS	1428.2	1223.7	0.618	1.15
Spherical	pН	0.251	0.243	0.650	1.03
	EC	2301.95	0.016	0.625	1.16
	SAR	2.524	0.0156	0.623	1.05
	TH	465.7	400.26	0.625	1.15
	TDS	1431.3	1192.6	0.602	1.18
Circular	pН	0.258	0.258	0.641	0.99
	EC	2310.9	0.017	0.630	1.18
	SAR	2.530	0.017	0.621	1.07
	TH	468.75	413.73	0.597	1.12
	TDS	1454.97	1158.5	0.581	1.25
Exponential	pH	0.2505	0.2456	0.601	1.02
	EC	2340.96	0.0156	0.660	1.20
	SAR	2.578	0.0163	0.628	1.05
Gaussian	TH	468.12	403.78	0.620	1.15
	TDS	1445.09	1186.3	0.640	1.20
	pН	0.2532	0.244	0.600	1.03
	EC	2326.55	0.0193	0.657	1.21
	SAR	2.575	0.0134	0.631	1.21

# Table 4. Cross-validation results of Simple CoKriging technique

Model	Parameter	Root Mean Square	Average Standard Error	R	Root Mean Square Standardized
	TH	433.17	401.5	0.652	1.074
	TDS	1389.14	1221.96	0.603	1.12
Spherical	pН	0.258	0.258	0.684	0.998
	EC	2190.09	0.0142	0.631	1.112
	SAR	2.372	0.0137	0.616	1.004
	TH	431.9	398.6	0.689	1.08
	TDS	1322	1183.8	0.654	1.10
Circular	pН	0.248	0.25	0.644	0.987
	EC	2133.84	0.01427	0.584	1.1132
	SAR	2.3194	0.0139	0.610	1.0392
	TH	444.64	413.37	0.701	1.07
	TDS	1384.24	1155.42	0.543	1.196
Exponential	pН	0.259	0.2581	0.584	1.002
	EC	2188.5	0.01283	0.592	1.1385
	SAR	2.3668	0.01343	0.583	0.9839
Gaussian	TH	461.59	403.42	0.603	1.13
	TDS	1433.19	1185.85	0.579	1.196
	pН	0.259	0.258	0.554	1.002
	EC	2284.31	0.0189	0.601	1.1946
	SAR	2.5307	0.0195	0.592	1.1316

Model	Parameter	Root Mean Square	Average Standard Error	R	Root Mean Square Standardized
	TH	299.6	354.3	0.742	0.750
	TDS	1087.3	924.19	0.726	0.928
Spherical	pН	0.2481	0.2498	0.700	0.983
	EC	1641.09	-0.0014	0.751	1.004
	SAR	1.529	0.0577	0.721	0.8178
	TH	363.29	446.5	0.750	0.704
	TDS	1100.12	1004.8	0.731	0.874
Circular	pН	0.2488	0.250	0.698	0.987
	EC	1691.73	0.02472	0.762	0.887
	SAR	1.6307	0.0824	0.689	0.730
	TH	159.96	170.4	0.621	1.12
	TDS	1184.5	390.05	0.564	8.68
Exponential	pН	0.2394	0.247	0.621	0.938
	EC	1554.59	-0.8759	0.742	6.7061
	SAR	2.2068	-0.831	0.723	6.615
	TH	420.42	407.9	0.590	1.01
	TDS	1282.28	1093.94	0.568	1.162
Gaussian	pН	0.2508	0.250	0.601	0.998
	EC	1932.51	-0.029	0.701	0.9971
	SAR	2.0502	0.0601	0.712	0.8151

Table 5. Cross-validation results of Ordinary CoKriging technique

Table 6. Cross-validation results of deterministic techniques							
Model	Parameter	Root Mean Square	R				
	TH	467.6	.0568				
	TDS	1440.8	0.587				
RBF	pH	0.250	0.702				
	EC	2305.29	0.625				
	SAR	2.5069	0.628				
	TH	510.5	0.502				
	TDS	1558.2	0.540				
Circular	pH	0.272	0.629				
	EC	2510.35	0.512				
	SAR	2.6276	0.562				

Water quality parameters results demonstrated that hardness of water in the east of basin was observed to be more than maximum permissible classification of the WHO (1984), i.e. 400 mg L<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2). The TDS values of the study area were more than 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>, and below the drinking water standard (1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup>). The high rate of TDS can be related to pollution through discharge of sewage in waste pits in the east of study region, however, most of the TDS values were higher than the normal water quality (Fig. 3).



Fig. 2. Spatial distribution map of TH of Kashan plain



Fig. 3. Spatial distribution map of TDS of Kashan plain

The results showed that most of pH values of the case study were alkaline (Fig. 4). According to the WHO classification and standard, all the

pH values were suitable for livestock and irrigation.



Fig. 4. Spatial distribution map of pH of Kashan plain

The EC values in the Kashan plain were totally different from north to south and from east to west (Fig. 5). According to the results, the electrical conductivity of groundwater varies between 340  $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup> in Abuzeidabad and 22280  $\mu$ S cm<sup>-1</sup> in Yazdel. Based on the WHO classification, it was highly suitable for drinking

purposes  $(1.500 \,\mu\text{S cm}^{-1})$  in the south of the study region. Generally, EC increase is recorded from south to north and from west to east possibly due to reduction water table. These results were supported by Jafari and Bakhshandehmehr (2014).



Fig. 5. Spatial distribution map of EC of Kashan plain

The Wilcox diagram based on SAR is also divided into four categories. The SAR of the case study is classified into two classes ( $S_1$  and  $S_2$ , Fig. 6); therefore, in the study area, the SAR

values were suitable. The results showed that the amount of electrical conductivity was less than the ratio of sodium absorption of groundwater in mountainous and flat areas.



Fig. 6. Spatial distribution map of SAR of Kashan plain

Based on the results in Table 7, approximately 22 percent of the groundwater had good quality in the area. These groundwater resources could be found in the south and western parts of the

region (Fig. 7). Suitable ground water for irrigation purposes (approximately 65% of the groundwater resources) were in the highlands of the west and south of the region.

Table 7. Oroundwater quanty	classification using whet	ox memou or Kashan plan	
Water quality	Class	Area (ha)	Area percentage (%)
Good	$C_2S_1$	38023	21.84
Medium Quality	$C_3S_1$	73088	41.98
Medium Quality	$C_3S_2$	72	0.04
Non Suitable	$C_4S_1$	29154	16.75
Non Suitable	$C_4S_2$	28470	16.35
Non Suitable	$C_5S_1$	5293	3.04

Table 7. Groundwater quality classification using Wilcox method of Kashan plain



Fig. 7. Spatial distribution of water quality using Wilcox diagram of Kashan plain

Studies have indicated that decrease of water table in the south of the study area is more than that in the north of the plain. Since agricultural lands are located in the south of the plain and water consumption in these areas had the highest volume, then the greatest decrease of water table was observed in these areas. In similar studies 2009; Jafari (Rahmani et al., and Bakhshandehmehr, 2014), it was also noted that excessive use of groundwater resources was one of the most important factors in the decline of water table.

#### 4. Conclusion

Groundwater is an essential water source in the Kashan plain, Iran. Almost more than 80% of the Kashan's water usage has been supplied from groundwater wells. The primary goal of the current research was to map and evaluate the groundwater quality in the study area. In this research, groundwater quality analysis was conducted on the data received for 72 observation wells in the Kashan plain applying deterministic and geostatistics techniques.

The spatial distribution of five groundwater quality parameters such as water quality

parameters, including Electrical Conductivity, Sodium Adsorption Ratio, Total Hardness, Total Dissolved Solids and pH, was carried out through deterministic and geostatistical techniques. According to the spatial distribution maps of various water quality parameters, (Fig. 3) the south and southwest of the plain have the optimum groundwater quality, and generally, the groundwater quality decreases from the southwest to northeast of the plain.

# References

- Al-Abadi, A.M., A.A. Al-Temmeme, M.A. Al-Ghanimy, 2016 A GIS-based combining of frequency ratio and index of entropy approaches for mapping groundwater availability zones at Badra–Al Al-Gharbi–Teeb areas, Iraq. Sustainable Water Resources Management, 2; 265–283.
- Afzali, A., H. Keshtkar, S. Pakzad, E. Farahani, A. Golpaigani, E. Khosrojerdi, Z. Yousefi, 2016. Spatio-Temporal analysis of drought severity using drought indices and deterministic and geostatistics methods (Case Study: Zayandehroud River Basin). Desert, 21; 165-172.
- Ahmadi, S.H., A. Sedghamiz, 2007. Geostatistical Analysis of Spatial and Temporal Variations of Groundwater Level. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 129; 277–294.

- Babakhani, M., Gh. Zehtabian, A.R. Keshtkar, H. Khosravi, 2016. Trend of groundwater quality changes using geostatistics (CaseStudy: Ravar Plain). Pollution, 2; 115-122.
- Belkhiri, L., T. SheikhiNarany, 2015. Using multivariate statistical analysis, geostatistical techniques and structural equation modelling to identify spatial variability of groundwater quality. Water Resources Management, 29; 2073-2089.
- Bjerg, P.L., T.H. Christensen, 1992. Spatial and Temporal Small-Scale Variation in Groundwater Quality of a Shallow Sandy Aquifer. Journal of Hydrology, 131; 133-149.
- Bodrud-Doza, B.D., A.R.M.T. Islam, F. Ahmed, S. Das, N. Saha, M.S. Rahman, 2016. Characterization of groundwater quality using water evaluation indices, multivariate statistics and geostatistics in central Bangladesh. Water Science, 30; 19-40.
- Brhane, G.K., 2016. Irrigation Water Quality Index and GIS Approach based Groundwater Quality Assessment and Evaluation for Irrigation Purpose in Ganta Afshum Selected Kebeles, Northern Ethiopia. International Journal of Emerging Trends in Science and Technology (IJETST), 3; 4624-4636.
- Goovaerts, P., 1997. Geostatistics for natural resources evaluation. New York: Oxford Univ Pres.
- Hu, K., Y. Huang, H. Li, B. Li, D. Chen, R.E. White, 2005. Spatial variability of shallow groundwater level, electrical conductivity and nitrate concentration and risk assessment of nitrate contamination in North China Plain. Environ. Int., 31; 896-903.
- Isaaks, E.H., R.M. Srivastava, 1989. An Introduction to Applied Geostatistics, New York: Oxford Univ Press.
- Jafari, R., L. Bakhshandehmehr, 2014. Investigate the spatial variability of salinity and alkalinity groundwater in Isfahan province using geostatistical. Journal of Science and Technology of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Water and Soil Sciences, 18; 183-194. (In Persian)
- Jeihouni, M., A. Toomanian, M. Shahabi, S.K. Alavipanah, 2014. Groundwater Quality Assessment for Drinking Purposes Using GIS Modelling (case study: city of Tabriz). The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences, Volume XL-2/W3, 2014 The 1st ISPRS International Conference on Geospatial Information Research, 15–17 November 2014, Tehran, Iran.
- Karami Sh., H. Madani, H. Katibeh, A. FathiMarj, 2018. Assessment and modeling of the groundwater hydrogeochemical quality parameters via geostatistical approaches. Applied Water Science, 8; 1-13.
- Keshtkar A.R., M.R. Ahmadi, H.R. Naseri, H. Atashi, H. Hamidifar, S.M. Razavi, A. Yazdanpanah, M. Karimpour Reihan, N. Moazami, 2016. Application of a vetiver system for unconventional water treatment. Desalination and Water Treatment, 57; 474-483.
- Keshtkar, A.R., B. Asefjah, Y. Erfanifard, A. Afzali, 2017. Application of MCDM for biologically based management scenario analysis in integrated catchment assessment and management. Desalination and Water

Treatment, 65; 243-251.

- Kheradpisheh, Z., A. Almodaresi, Y. Khaksar, L. Rafati, 2014. Zoning of Groundwater Contaminated by Nitrate Using Geostatistics Methods (Case Study: Bahabad Plain, Yazd, Iran). Desert, 19; 83-90.
- Kumar, A., S. Maroju, A. Bhat, 2007. Application of ArcGIS geostatistical analyst for interpolating environmental data from observations, environmental progress. 26, pp. 220.
- Laze, P., S. Rizani, A. Ibraliu, 2016. Assessment of irrigation water quality of Dukaghin basin in Kosovo. Journal of International Scientific Publications, Agriculture and Food, 4; 544- 551.
- Lokeshwari, H., G.T. Chandrappa, 2006. Impact of Heavy Metal Contamination of Bellandur Lake on Soil and Cultivated Vegetation. Current Science, 91; 622-627.
- Moasheri. S.A., A. Shams Goshki, A. Parsaie, 2013. "SAR" qualities parameter persistence by a compound method of geostatic and artificial neural network (case study of Jiroft plain). International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences, 6; 157-166.
- Mohammadi, A., K. Yaghmaeian, H. Faraji, R. Nabizadeh, A.H. Dehghani, J.K. Khaili, A.H. Mahvi, 2017. Temporal and spatial variation of chemical parameter concentration in drinking water resources of Bandar-e Gaz City using Geographic Information System. Desalination and Water Treatment 68: 170– 176.
- Mohammed, M.N., 2011. Quality assessment of Tigris River by using water quality index for irrigation purpose. European Journal of Scientific Research, 571; 15-28.
- Nas, B., 2009. Geostatistical Approach to Assessment of Spatial Distribution of Groundwater Quality. Journal of Environmental Studies, 18; 1073-1082.
- Prabu, P.C., L. Wondimu, M. Tesso, 2011. Assessment of Water Quality of Huluka and Alaltu Rivers of Ambo, Ethiopia. Journal of Agricultural Science and Technology, 13; 131-138.
- Rahimi, Z. M. Chitsazan, S. Mirzaye, A. Adineh Poor, 2009. Check Salt River catchment water quality of rivers, Eighth International Seminar on River Engineering in February 2009, Ahvaz, Chamran University.
- Rahmani, M., M. Mesbah, H. Hoseini Marandi, A. Najafinejad, 2009. Study of groundwater decreasing on gully erosion in Neyriz plain, Fars, Iran. 5<sup>th</sup> National Conference in Watershed Management, Gorgan, Iran. (In Persian).
- Sadat Noori, M., S., Ebrahimi, A.M. Liaghat, A.H. Hoorfar, 2012. Comparison of different geostatistical methods to estimate groundwater level at different climatic periods. Water and Environment Journal, 27; 10-19.
- Soltani, J., F. Khodabakhshi, M. Dadashi, 2014. Classification of Water Quality of Gharasoo River for different uses in the wet and dry years. Journal of River Engineering, 2; 34-38.
- World Health Organization (WHO), 1984. Guidelines for Drinking Water Quality, Recommendations. WHO, Geneva. 130p.